

**Hana Turčinová**

*Charles University Prague, Czech Republic*

### **Characterization of Sobolev functions with zero traces via the distance function from the boundary**

Consider a regular domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and let  $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ . Denote  $L_a^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  the space of functions from  $L^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  having absolutely continuous quasinorms. This set is essentially smaller than  $L^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  but, at the same time, essentially larger than any  $L^{1,q}(\Omega)$ ,  $q \in [1, \infty)$ .

A classical result of late 1980's states that, for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  if and only if  $u/d^m \in L^p(\Omega)$  and  $|\nabla^m u| \in L^p(\Omega)$ . During the consequent decades, several authors have spent considerable effort in order to relax the characterizing condition. Recently, it was proved that  $u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  if and only if  $u/d^m \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $|\nabla^m u| \in L^p(\Omega)$ . We will present a new, yet more relaxed condition on the function  $u/d$ , namely  $u/d \in L_a^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ , which together with  $|\nabla u| \in L^p(\Omega)$  still guarantees that  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, we will point out a counterexample which demonstrates that after relaxing the condition  $u/d \in L_a^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  to  $u/d \in L^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ , the equivalence no longer holds, and we will discuss several regularity conditions for domains.